IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA FLORENCE DIVISION

James Bernard Addison,)	Civil Action No.: 4:12-cv-02543-RBH
Plaintiff,)	
V.)	ORDER
Chesterfield County Sheriff Dept., Investigator Wayne Jordan,)	
Defendants.)	
	_)	

Plaintiff James Bernard Addison, a state prisoner proceeding <u>pro se</u>, filed this action, alleging constitutional and state tort law claims. Plaintiff filed a motion for default judgment, arguing that the above-captioned Defendants' failure to meet a scheduling order's deadline for dispositive motions justifies a default judgment. ECF No. 55. The matter is now before the Court for review of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Kaymani D. West, made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff's motion for a default judgment.

The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this Court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this Court. See Mathews v. Weber, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The Court is charged with making a de novo determination of those portions of the Report and Recommendation to which specific objection is made, and the Court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge or recommit the matter with instructions. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

4:12-cv-02543-RBH Date Filed 07/02/13 Entry Number 64 Page 2 of 2

Neither party has filed objections to the Report and Recommendation. In the absence of

objections to the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge, this Court is not required to

give any explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). The Court reviews only for clear error in the absence of an objection. See Diamond

v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co., 416 F.3d 310 (4th Cir. 2005) (stating that "in the absence of a

timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct de novo review, but instead must 'only

satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the

recommendation' ") (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note).

After a thorough review of the record in this case, the Court finds no clear error.

Accordingly, the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge is adopted and incorporated

by reference. Therefore, it is

ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for default judgment (ECF No. 55) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ R. Bryan Harwell

R. Bryan Harwell

United States District Judge

Florence, South Carolina July 2, 2013

2